

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/042,614	LIU, YA FANG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUSAN HANLEY	1651	

All Participants:

(1) SUSAN HANLEY.

(2) Basil Krikelis.

Date of Interview: 3 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

33, 34 and 44-47

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Krikelis agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance Mr. Krikelis stated that he would filed a terminal disclaimer over US Patent No. 7,264,942.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: after final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)